

Rec. Nat. Prod. 12:5 (2018) 498-507

records of natural products

# Morphological, Indumentum and Chemical Characteristics and Analysis of the Volatile Components of the Flowers of *Rhododendron ponticum* L. subsp. *ponticum* (Ericaceae) of Turkish Origin<sup>§</sup>

Sevim Küçük<sup>®1\*</sup>, Mine Kürkçüoğlu<sup>®2</sup> and Kemal Hüsnü Can Başer<sup>®3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Botany, Faculty of Pharmacy, Anadolu University, 26470, Eskisehir, Türkiye <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Anadolu University, 26470 Eskişehir, Türkiye <sup>3</sup>Near East University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacognosy, Near East Boulevard, ZIP: 99138, Nicosia, Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus

(Received November 6, 2017; Revised January 29, 2018; Accepted January 30, 2018)

**Abstract:** Morphological, chemical and indumentum characteristics of *Rhododendron ponticum* L. subsp. *ponticum* collected from Bartin province have been investigated. A detailed description of the species has been prepared and compared with that published in the Flora of Turkey of Davis in a tabular form. The taxonomic and morphological characteristics of the plant material have been described and illustrated by drawings. Indumentum characteristics were also investigated. Volatiles of the flowers were trapped by a dynamic headspace SPME setup and their hexane extract were analyzed by GC/MS. Main components of the headspace trapped volatiles of flowers were characterized as  $\alpha$ -pinene (44.5 %),  $\beta$ -pinene (10.8 %), linalool (4 %) and limonene (3.3 %) whereas main components in volatiles of the hexane extract were linalool (19.6 %), phenylethyl alcohol (19.1 %), myrtenol (10.1 %). citronellol (9.4 %) and phenylacetaldehyde (7.8%).

**Key words:** *Rhododendron ponticum* subsp. *ponticum*, ericaceae; morphology; indumentum; chemistry, GC-MS HS-SPME. © 2018 ACG Publications. All rights reserved.

# **1. Introduction**

More than 850 species of *Rhododendron* L. (Orman Gülü) are distributed in the Northern Hemisphere. The genus *Rhododendron* distributed in North Eastern and West Anatolia is represented by 9 species two being endemic and altogether 12 taxa, 4 hybrids and 1 form [1-5].

These are *Rhododendron. luteum* Sweet (Sarı çiçekli ormangülü), *R. ungernii* Trautv. (Ak Ormangülü), *R. smirnowii* Trautv. (Kızıl Kumar) and., *R. caucasicum* Pallas (Kafkas Ormangülü), *R. ponticum* L. subsp. *ponticum* (Mor Çiçekli Ormangülü), *R. ponticum* subsp. *ponticum* forma *album*, *R. x sochadzeae* Charadze & Davlianidze, *R. x rosifaciens* R.Milne, *R. x davisianum* R.Milne, *R. x filidactylis* R.Milne [1-5].

Leaves and flowers of *Rhododendron* (especially *R. luteum* and *R. ponticum*) contain toxic compounds (andromedotoxin). Honey from the flowers of *Rhododendron* is locally known as ''deli bal,

Presented at the 10th International Symposium on the Chemistry of Natural Compounds (10th SCNC), 21-23 November 2013, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

The article was published by ACG Publications

www.acgpubs.org/RNP © September-October/ 2018 EISSN:1307-6167

DOI: http://doi.org/10.25135/mp.50.17.11.070

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: E-Mail: <u>salan@anadolu.edu.tr</u>; Phone:+90-545-2997214

acı bal, tutar bal'' (Mad honey). It has antihypertension activity and when consumed it inflicts neurotoxicity by causing light-headedness and hallucinations. *Rhododendron* species are also used as decorative plants [6-10]. When consumed, consciousness disorders similar to drunkenness are observed. Overdose is lethal. Leaves of *R. luteum* (Kumar leaf) contain tannin, essential oil, ericolin, arbutin and andromedol derivatives. Although used as diuretic and analgesic in rheumatic pains its infusion can be dangerous due to andromedol derivatives. A decoction of *R. luteum* and *R. ponticum* leaves is externally used to treat fungal foot infectious in Giresun province (Dereli, Çalca Eğriambar). *R. luteum* is also used as ornamental due to its showy flowers [6-10].

*R. ponticum* is an evergreen plant, growing up to 10 m in Northern and Eastern Anatolian mountains. This plant known as ''Mor çiçekli ormangülü, Alp gülü, Komar, Karaağu, Kara Kumar, Kumar'' [6-10].

The volatile profile of some *Rhododendron* species have been investigated. 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone was reported as main compound in the  $CH_2Cl_2$  extract flowers of *Rhododendron ponticum* L. [11].

This report concerns morphological, chemical and indumentum characteristics of *Rhododendron ponticum* subsp. *ponticum* collected from Bartin province. A detailed description of the species has been prepared and compared with that published in the Flora of Turkey of Davis in a tabular form. The taxonomic and morphological characteristics of the plant material have been described and illustrated by drawings. Indumentum characteristics were also investigated. Volatiles of the flowers and their hexane extract were trapped by a dynamic Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction setup and were analyzed by GC-MS (HS-SPME-GC-MS).

This is the first report on the morphological, indumentum characteristics and headspace volatiles and hexane extract of the flowers of this plant.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Plant Material

Aerial parts were collected in May 2011, A4 Bartin: Hasan kadı beldesi, Akbay köyü, 300 m. Voucher specimens are kept at the Herbarium of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Anadolu University in Eskişehir, Turkey (ESSE 14428).

### 2.2. Morphological and Anatomical Studies

The plant material was identified as *R. ponticum* subsp. *ponticum* using the Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands (Stevens 1978). Herbarium specimens were used for description and detailed morphological drawings. A Leitz SM-LUX binocular microscope with drawing tube was used for anatomical drawings. A wild M5 A stereo microscope with drawing tube was utilized for morphological drawing.

#### 2.3. Headspace-Solid Phase Micro Extraction (HS-SPME) Analysis

 $60 \text{ min sampling was carried out on live plant materials using a blue fibre Polydimethylsiloxane Divinylbenzene (PDMS/DVB - <math>65\mu m$ ) – Blue (supplied by Supelco Bellefonte, USA). The fiber was directly desorbed in GC/MS for 10 min.

Headspace-SPME procedure for the extract: The volatiles were trapped by SPME. SPME fiber coated with PDMS/DVB -  $65\mu m$  was used with a sampling time of 15 min-50 °C. Thermal desorption at 250°C for 10 min.

## 2.4. GC/MS Conditions

The GC/MS analysis was carried out with an Agilent 5975 GC-MSD system. Innowax FSC column (60m x 0.25mm, 0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness) was used with helium as carrier gas (0.8 mL/min.). GC oven temperature was kept at 60 °C for 10 min and programmed to 220 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min, and kept constant at 220 °C for 10 min and then programmed to 240 °C at a rate of 1°C/min., at splitless mode. The injector temperature was at 250 °C. MS were taken at 70 eV. Mass range was from m/z 35 to 450.

500

The components of essential oils were characterized by comparison of their mass spectra with those in the Baser Library of Essential Oil Constituents, Wiley GC/MS Library, Adams Library, MassFinder Library and confirmed by comparison of their retention indices. Alkanes were used as reference points in the calculation of relative retention indices (RRI). The results of analysis are shown in Table 2.

# 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1.Morphological Observations

*Rhododendron ponticum* subsp. *ponticum* (Figure 1) is a large shrub, to 10 m Stem with variable indumentum when young, but not tomentose, glabrous-glandular; terminal bud *ca*.1.5-2 cm. Petiole 1-1.7 cm, glabrous-glandular; lamina eliptic-obovate,  $6-12.5 \times 2-4.5$  cm, base acute, margin repant-integer, obtuse-acute at apex, coriaceous, glabrous-glandular hairs, fine venation usually flat above.



Figure 1. *Rhododendron ponticum* subsp. *ponticum*. a—plant; b—leaf; c-- bracts; d—bracteols; e-flower; f-- pistil; g-- stamen; h-- capsule; 1-- nutlets.

Inflorescence terminal, racemous, 7-25 flowered, axis 5-7.5 cm, peduncle 1.5-8 cm; pedicels 2-3 cm, glabrous glandular hairs, bracts  $6-10 \times 2-3.5$  cm, ovate-linear, decidous and bracteols 1-2.5 cm, pubescent-eglandular. Calyx 5 lobes, ovate-linear, lobes 0.6-4 mm, glabrous-glandular. Corolla 2.8-3.6 cm, purplish-pink, upper with yellow spots, campanulate, 2-8x3-6 cm, 1-2 cm across, 5 lobes, acute-rounded, lobes 1.5-2.5 cm, usually glabrous outside, pubescent towards base inside, tube 1.2-1.6 cm,, Stamens 6-10, 2.5-4 cm. Anther dorsifixed, pink, 2.3-3 mm. Filament 2.2-3.7 cm, pink, base pubescent. Ovary 4-5x1.5-2 cm, cylindric, 5-locular, glabrous-eglandular; style 3-4 cm, glabrous-glandular; stigma capitate, pink -pale brown. Capsule 1.5-3 cm, septicidal capsule; seeds *ca*. 2.5 mm (Figure 1). Flowering period: (3-)5-6(-8). Habitat: Usually in *Fagus orientalis* forest, rarely in other types of forest or above tree line. Altitude: (s.1.-)150-1800 (-2100) m. Distribution in Turkey: N. Turkey. E. Balkans, Caucasus, Georgia, Lebanon. Euxine element [3].

Morpho-metrical characters Indumentum of stem		Flora of Turkey	<b>The findings of this</b> <b>study</b> Glabrous, glandular	
		variable when young, but not tomentose		
Petiole indumentum			Glabrous, glandular	
	size	(6-)10-17×(2.8-)3.5-4.5 cm	6-12.5×2-4.5 cm	
	base		Acute	
	margin		Repand-integer	
Lamina	apex		Obtuse-acute	
	indumentum	Coriaceous, initially tomentose below but soon glabrescent, glandular hairs persistent	Glabrous, glandular	
Inflorescenc es	Number of flowers	5-20	7-25	
	shape	Terminal	Terminal rasemous	
	axis	1.3-7 cm	5-7.5 cm	
Peduncle	size	1.3-7 cm	1.5-8 cm	
	size	2-2.5 cm	2-3 cm	
Pedicel	shape	Usually glabrous, sometimes with glandular hairs or pubescent	Glabrous, glandular	
	shape	Ovate-linear	Ovate-linear, deciduous	
Bracts	size	2.5-3.5 cm	6-10×2-3.5 cm	
	indumentum		Pubescent, eglandular	
Bracteols	Shape		Ovate –linear, deciduous	
	Size	2.5-3.5 cm	1-2.5 cm	
	İndumentum		Pubescent, eglandular	
Calyx	Shape of lobes		Ovate-linear	
	Size of lobes	0.6-1(-3) mm	0.6-4 mm	
	Number of lobes		5	
	İndumentum		Glabrous, glandular	

**Table 1.** Morpho-metrical characters of *Rhododendron ponticum* subsp. *ponticum* (Figure 1)

	Table 1 Continued			
	colour	Purplish-pink, upper with yellow spots	Same	
Corolla	shape	Campanulate	Campanulate	
	size		2.8-3.6 cm	
	Shape of lobes	Acute-rounded	Acute-rounded	
	Number of lobes		5	
	Size of lobes	1.5-2.5 cm	1.5-2.5 cm	
	Across of lobes	1.5-2.5 cm	1-2 cm	
	Size of tube	1.5-2.5 cm	1.2-1.6 cm	
	Indumentum	Usually glabrous outside, pubescent towards base, inside pubescent	Same	
Stamen	number	10	6-10	
	size		2.5-4 cm	
	shape		Dorsifixed	
Anther	colour		Pink	
	size		2.3-3 mm	
	size		2.2-3.7 cm	
	colour		Pink	
Filament	Table 1 Continueed			
	indumentum		Base pubescent	
Ovary	size		4-5×1.5-2 cm	
	shape	5-locular	Cylindrical, 5-locular	
	indumentum	Glabrous	Glabrous, eglandular	
G( 1	size	3-4 cm	3-4.5 cm	
Style	indumentum	Glabrous	Glabrous, glandular	
G.(*	shape	Capitate	Same	
Stigma	colour		Pink -Pale brown	
<b>C</b> 1	shape	Septicidal capsule	Same	
Capsule	size	1.6-2.8 cm	1.5-3 cm	
Seeds	size	c.2 mm	2.5 mm	

Leaves elliptic-obovate, glabrous-glandular hairs on surfaces. Flowers are pink- purple. Flowers are usually with 5 fragments. Flowering period, usually in summer months [3].

To the best of our knowledge, there is no morphological study on *R. ponticum* subsp. *ponticum*. Morphological and morphometric descriptions are compared with those in the Flora of Turkey as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. Most of our findings were in agreement with those features published in the Flora of Turkey. However, lower limits of leaf, pedicel, style and fruit dimensions were found to be higher in our findings. This is possibly due to the number of specimens investigated and to the ecological reasons. Here, we also report for the first time the base, margin, shape of lamina, type of inflorescence, calyx tooth shape and number, corolla length, shape and number of lobes, stamen length, shape colour and length of anther, colour and length of filament, ovary size and shape, style colour, stigma shape and colour, seed colour characteristics [3].

## 3.2. Indumentum

Indumentum characteristics of stem, leaf, pedicel calyx, corolla, ovary and style were investigated by anatomical studies in comparison with those given in the Flora of Turkey in Table 1. Covering trichomes are simple with 1-2 cells; glandular hairs are emergence. Indumentum characters deviated from those reported by Davis [3]. In addition to those characters published in Flora of Turkey, we also observed glabrous-glandular on the stem and glabrous-glandular on the pedicel. Covering trichomes on the leaves are glabrous-glandular. Bracts, bracteols and ovary are glabrous-eglandular. Calyx and style are glabrous-glandular (Figure 2).




Figure 2. In light microscope of hairs of *Rhododendron ponticum* subsp *ponticum*. a- glandular hairs (emergence); b- covering hairs

#### 3.3. Composition

Essential oils of some *Rhododendron* species have been investigated. Mostly terpenes such as  $\alpha$ -humulene, caryophyllene, limonene,  $\alpha$ - or -pinene comprise the main constituents of the essential oils. A study reported mostly non-terpenic hydrocarbons, alcohols, esters and ketones as the major components [11]. The main poisonous constituents of *Rhododendron* plants, grayanotoxins (andromedotoxins), were not detected in any of the extracts. These diterpenes are unstable on heating and have low vapor pressure, hence they require derivatization (TMS) before the GC analysis Andromedotoxin has been isolated from the waste material in the production of *Rhododendron* essential oils [11].

RRI <sup>a</sup>	RRI <sup>b</sup>	Compounds	Α	В %	ID**
		-	%		
1032	1025 <sup>c,d</sup>	α-Pinene	44.5	1.3	RRI, MS
	1032 <sup>g</sup>				
1118	1117 <sup>c,d</sup>	β-Pinene	10.8	-	RRI, MS
1203	1212 <sup>c,d</sup>	Limonene	3.3	-	RRI, MS
1205		3-Hexanol	-	2.7	RRI, MS
1213	1013-1039 <sup>f</sup> 1213 <sup>n</sup>	1,8-Cineole	0.8	-	RRI, MS
1223		2-Hexanol	-	3.5	MS
1266	1249 °	( <i>E</i> )- $\beta$ -Ocimene	1.3	-	MS
1267		3-Octanone	1.4	-	RRI, MS
1280	1268 <sup>e</sup> 1282 <sup>d</sup>	<i>p</i> -Cymene	1.8	-	RRI, MS
1360	1360 <sup>n,t</sup>	1-Hexanol	0.8	0.5	RRI, MS
1400	1400 <sup>n</sup>	Tetradecane	1.1	0.3	RRI, MS
1418		(Z)-2-Hexen-1-ol	-	0.1	RRI, MS
1450	1450 <sup>c</sup>	trans-Linalool oxide (Furanoid)	-	0.6	MS
1452	1452 <sup>h</sup>	<i>p</i> -Cymenene	0.6	-	MS
1453	1444-1452 <sup>d</sup>	1-Octen-3-ol	2.9	6.5	RRI, MS
1466	1466- 1480 <sup>d</sup>	α-Cubebene	0.2	-	MS
1478	1445-1448 <sup>c</sup>	cis-Linalool oxide (Furanoid)	0.3	1.7	MS
1496	1496 <sup>s</sup>	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	1.1	-	MS
1497	1488 <sup>d</sup>	α-Copaene	1.4	0.5	MS
1532	1515-1532 <sup>d</sup>	Camphor	1.4	-	RRI, MS
1535	1523 <sup>d</sup> 1535 <sup>k</sup>	β-Bourbonene	-	0.5	RRI, MS
1541	1541 <sup>n,s</sup>	Benzaldehyde	-	1.0	MS
1541		Isolongifolene	0.8	-	RRI, MS
1548	1548 <sup>t</sup>	(E)-2-Nonenal	-	0.2	MS
1553 1562	1538 <sup>c</sup> -1553 <sup>c,g</sup> 1562 <sup>t</sup>	<b>Linalool</b> Octanol	4.0	19.6	RRI, MS
1583	1583 <sup>r</sup>	Longifolene	-	0.2	RRI, MS
	1585 <sup>d</sup>	0	1.7	0.1	MS
1589		$\beta$ -Ylangene	-	0.4	MS
1612	1608- 1612 °	β-Caryophyllene	1.3	0.5	RRI, MS
1621		2-Octen-1-ol	-	0.6	MS
1641	1641 <sup>t</sup>	Methyl benzoate	_	0.3	MS

**Table 2.** Composition of the flower volatiles of *R. ponticum* subsp. ponticum

Table 2	2 Continued				
1648	1648 <sup>k</sup>	Myrtenal	1.0	1.6	MS
1658	1663 <sup>s</sup>	Phenyl acetaldehyde	-	7.8	MS
1704	1689 <sup>d</sup>	γ-Muurolene	-	0.3	MS
1706	1695 <sup>c,e</sup> -1698 <sup>e</sup>	$\alpha$ -Terpineol	1.6	2.2	RRI, MS
	$1706^{c,k,g}$				
1719	1700-1701 <sup>e</sup>	Borneol	0.5	0.3	RRI, MS
1725	1720-1725 <sup>d</sup>	Verbenone	0.7	-	RRI, MS
1726	1711 <sup>h</sup>	Germacrene D	-	0.6	MS
1733	1722-1726 <sup>°</sup> 1740 <sup>m</sup>	Valencene			
			0.5	-	RRI, MS
1740	1723 <sup>d</sup>	α-Muurolene	0.2	-	MS
1758	1758n	$(E,E)$ - $\alpha$ -Farnesene	0.7	-	MS
1772	1763 <sup>f,g</sup> 1772n	Citronellol	0.7	9.4	RRI, MS
1773	1772n 1755 <sup>d</sup> 1750-1752 <sup>e</sup>	δ-Cadinene	0.5	-	MS
1776	1763 <sup>d</sup> 1748-1749 <sup>e</sup>	γ-Cadinene	0.4	-	MS
1802	1790-1804 <sup>d,h</sup>	Myrtenol	2.1	10.1	MS
1849	1849-1927 <sup>d</sup>	Calamenene	0.3	-	MS
1857	1839-1857 <sup>d,g</sup>	Geraniol	-	1.5	RRI, MS
1896	1896 <sup>s</sup>	Benzyl alcohol	-	1.8	MS
1937	1937 <sup>g</sup>	Phenylethyl alcohol	0.5	19.1	MS
2045	1988 <sup>p</sup>	Isopropyl myristate	0.3	-	MS
					_

A: Headspace volatiles of the fresh flowers; B: Headspace volatiles of the hexane extract; RRI<sup>a</sup>: RRI Relative retention indices experimentally calculated against *n*-alkanes; RRI<sup>b</sup>: RRI from literature (c [13]; d [14]; e [15]; f [16]; g [17]; h [18]; k [19]; m [20]; n [21]; p [22]; r [23]; s [24]; t [25]) for polar column values; \*% calculated from FID data; \*\*ID: Identification Method; Identification method based on the relative retention indices (RRI) of authentic compounds on a HP Innowax column; MS, identified on the basis of computer matching of the mass spectra with those of the in-house Baser Library of Essential Oil Constituents, Adams [26], MassFinder [27] and Wiley [28] libraries.

In previous studies, headspace volatiles were reported from flowers of *R. luteum*. Main odour components were found as  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (34.0%), methyl benzoate (11.7%), (E)- $\beta$ -ocimene (10.4%) and  $\alpha$ -pinene (10.0%) [12].

Headspace volatiles of fresh flowers of *Rhododendron ponticum* and the hexane extract were analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. The volatiles were trapped by SPME in a dynamic headspace set up. A blue -Polydimethylsiloxane/Divinylbenzene (PDMS / DVB fibre was used.

Thirty -four and thirty-one volatile compounds were identified in both of the fresh flowers and hexane extract representing 91.5 % and 95.8 % of the total volatiles respectively.

Volatiles of *R. ponticum* subsp. *ponticum* were trapped by blue SPME fibre over a period of 1.5 hours. Main components were identified as  $\alpha$ -pinene (44.5 %),  $\beta$ -pinene (10.8 %), linalool (4 %) and limonene (3.3 %).

Volatiles of the hexane extract of *R. ponticum* were trapped on an HS-SPME Blue fibre. Main components were identified as linalool (19.6 %), phenylethyl alcohol (19.1 %), myrtenol (10.1 %), citronellol (9.4 %) and phenylacetaldehyde (7.8%).

## Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Ali Ünsal Keskiner for collecting the plant materials.

### ORCID 💿

Sevim Küçük: <u>0000-0002-3594-0364</u> Mine Kürkçüoğlu: <u>0000-0002-9375-0294</u> Kemal Hüsnü Can Başer: <u>0000-0003-2710-0231</u>

## References

- [1] M. Avcı (2004). Ormangülleri (Rhododendron L.) ve Türkiye'deki doğal yayılışları, İstanbul Üniversitesi, Edebiyat Fak. Coğrafya Bölümü, Coğrafya Dergisi, **12**, 13-29.
- [2] A. Güner, N. Özhatay, T. Ekim and K.H.C. Başer (2000). Flora of Turkey and East Aegean Islands, Edinburgh Univ. Press, **11**:181-183, 322-339.
- [3] P. F. Stevens (1978). *Rhododendron* L., Flora of Turkey and East Aegean Islands (Ed. PH. Davis), Edinburgh Univ. Press., **6**, 91-94.
- [4] S. Terzioğlu, N. Merey and R. Anşin (2001). A Study on Turkish *Rhododendron* L. (Ericaceae), *Turk. J. Agric. Forest.* **25**, 311-317.
- [5] A. Güner, S. Aslan, T. Ekim, M. Vural and M.T. Babaç (eds.) (2012). Türkiye Bitkileri Listesi (Damarlı Bitkiler). Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanik Bahçesi ve Flora Araştırmaları Derneği Yayını, İstanbul, 850-70.
- [6] R. Acartürk (1997). Şifalı Bitkiler Flora ve Sağlığımız, Orman Genel Müdürlüğü Mensupları Yardımlaşma Vakfi, Yay. No:1,.88.
- [7] A. Baytop (1991). Farmasötik Botanik Ders Kitabı, İst. Üniv. Eczacılık Fakültesi Yay. No:58, 224.
- [8] T. Baytop (1984). Türkiye'de Bitkiler ile Tedavi, İst. Üniv. Yayın No: 3255 Ecz. Fak. Yay. No: 40, 161, 275.
- [9] E. Tuzlacı (2006). Şifa Niyetine, Türkiye'nin Bitkisel Halk İlaçları, Alfa Yayınları 1702, 283-284.
- [10] N. Zeybek and U. Zeybek (1994). Farmasötik Botanik. Ege Üniversitesi Eczacılık Fakültesi Yay. No:2, 221-222.
- [11] D. Taşdemir, B. Demirci, F. Demirci, A. Dönmez, K.H.C. Başer and Ruedi P, (2003). Analysis of the volatile components of five Turkish *Rhododendron* species by headspace solid-phase microextraction and GC-MS (HS-SPME-GC-MS), *Z. Naturforsch.* 58c, 797-803.
- [12] S. Alan, M. Kürkçüoğlu, F. Göger and K.H.C. Baser, (2010). Morphological, chemical and indumentum characteristics of *Rhododendron luteum* sweet (*Ericaceae*), *Pak. J. Bot.*, **42**(6), 3729-3737.
- [13] N. Kucukboyaci, B. Demirci, N. Adiguzel, B. Bani and K. H. C. Baser (2015). Volatile compounds from the aerial part and fruits of *Grammosciadium pterocarpum* Boiss. growing in Turkey, J. Essent. Oil Res. 27, 177-181.
- [14] H. E. Temel, B. Demirci, F. Demirci, F. Celep, A. Kahraman, M. Dogan and K. H. C Baser (2016). Chemical characterization and anticholinesterase effects of essential oils derived from *Salvia* species, *J. Essent. Oil Res.* 28, 322-331.
- [15] L. Solis-Quispe, C. Tomaylla-Cruz, Y. Callo-Choquelvica, A. Solís-Quispe, I. Rodeiro, I. Hernández, M. D. Fernández and J. A. Pino (2016). Chemical composition, antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of essential oil from *Schinus areira* L. and *Minthostachys spicata* (Benth.) Epl. grown in Cuzco, Peru, J. Essent. Oil Res. 28, 234-240.
- [16] V. I. Babushok, P. J. Linstrom and I. G. Zenkevich (2011). Retention indices for frequently reported compounds of plant essential oils, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **40**, 043101-47.
- [17] F. S. Senol, I. Erdogan Orhan, M. Kurkcuoglu, M. T. H. Khan, A., B. Sener and K. H. C. Baser (2013). A mechanistic investigation on anticholinesterase and antioxidant effects of rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill.), *Food Res. Int.* 53, 502–509.
- [18] H. G. Agalar, M. Kurkcuoglu, A. Duran, O. Cetin and K. H. C. Baser (2015). Volatile compounds of *Peucedanum chryseum* (Boiss. et Heldr.) Chamberlain fruits, *Nat. Vol. & Essent. Oils (NVEO)*, **2(4)**, 4-10.
- [19] N. Tan, D. Stana, B. Sen, E. Tan, H. B. Altan, B. Demirci and M. Uzun (2016). Antimycobacterial and antifungal activities of selected four *Salvia* species, *Rec. Nat. Prod.* **10**, 593-603.
- [20] S. Kucuk, M. Kurkcuoglu; Y. B. Köse and K. H. C. Baser (2015). Chemical Characterisation of the essential oil of *Hypericum aviculariifolium* Jaub. & Spach subsp. *depilatum* (Freyn & Bornm.) Robson var. *bourgaei* (Boiss.) Robson from Turkey, *Nat. Vol. & Essent. Oils (NVEO)*, 2 (2), 52-56.
- [21] M. Kurkcuoglu, A. Abdel-Megeed, and K.H.C. Baser (2013). The composition of Taif rose oil, J. Essent. Oil Res., 25 (5), 364-367.

- [22] I. A. Schepetkin, S. V. Kushnarenko, G. Ozek, L. N. Kirpotina, G. A. Utegenova, Y. A. Kotukhov, A. N. Danilova, T. Ozek, K. H.C. Baser and M. T. Quinn (2015). Inhibition of human neutrophil eesponses by the essential oil of *Artemisia kotuchovii* and its constituents, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 63, 4999–5007.
- [23] O. Ustun, F. S. Senol, M. Kurkcuoglu, I. Orhan, M. Kartal and K.H.C. Baser (2012). Investigation on chemical composition, anticholinesterase and antioxidant activities of extracts and essential oils of Turkish Pinus species and pycnogenol, *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 38, 115–123.
- [24] K. Polatoglu, B. Demirci, I. Calıs and K.H.C. Baser (2017). Difference in volatile composition of *Chenopodium murale* from two different locations of Cyprus, *Rec. Nat. Prod.*, **11** (1), 88-91.
- [25] D. O. Moronkola, I. A. Ogunwande, K.H.C. Baser, T. Ozek and G. Ozek (2009). Essential oil composition of *Gmelina arborea* Roxb., Verbenaceae, from Nigeria, J. *Essent. Oil Res.*, **21** (3), 264-266.
- [26] R. P. Adams (2007). Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry. Allured Publ. Corp, Carol Stream, IL.
- [27] D. H. Hochmuth (2008). MassFinder-4, Hochmuth Scientific Consulting, Hamburg, Germany.
- [28] F. W. McLafferty, D.B. Stauffer (1989). The Wiley/NBS Registry of Mass Spectral Data, J. Wiley and Sons: New York.

A C G publications