

The role of immunotherapy in lung cancer: Actual scenery

İpek Ertorun¹✉, Aydan Huseynli^{2,3}, Sevinç Nursena Ertekin³, Gülşen Akalın Çiftçi^{2,3}

¹Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medicinal Biochemistry, Eskişehir, Türkiye.

²Anadolu University, Graduate School of Health Sciences, Eskişehir, Türkiye.

³Anadolu University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Biochemistry, Eskişehir, Türkiye.

✉ İpek Ertorun
erdoganipek@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.55971/EJLS.1267898>

Received: 20.03.2023

Accepted: 07.04.2023

Available online: 24.04.2023

ABSTRACT

More than half of those who succumb to cancer each year also lose their battle with the disease, making cancer a leading cause of death worldwide. After surgery, hormonal therapy, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, which are preferred in cancer management, immunotherapy has revolutionized. In this mini-review, we cover the various immunotherapeutic approaches used in contemporary cancer immunotherapies. These are immune checkpoint blockade, an attempt planned to ‘unleash’ robust T cell responses, and adaptive cellular therapies connected on the infusion of tumor-struggling immune cells into the body. One of these attempts, Nivolumab, became the first ICI to be approved to treat lung cancer in 2014. To date, different ICIs, such as pembrolizumab, atezolizumab, and durvalumab, have been in a row introduced into clinical medicine and have shown significant effect. Therefore, in this mini-review, we present some emerging goals and attempts in cancer immunotherapy.

Keywords: Lung cancer, Checkpoint inhibitor, Chimeric antigen receptor T cells, Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4, Programmed cell death protein 1

1. INTRODUCTION

In the world, lung cancer (LC), one of the deadliest malignancies, causes more than 25% of all cancer-related fatalities each year [1]. According to estimates, there are 1.8 million new cases of lung cancer each year, and 1.6 million people die from the disease [2-4]. The depressing survival results in patients with LC are currently directing great try to develop new treatments for this high-risk group. Most statistics of patients with LC include both small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). SCLC sources from badly differentiated neuro endocrine cells, results in rapid metastasis, and has a weak prognosis with weak response to therapy. The incidence of small cell cancers is higher, especially among men, and is associated with a history of smoking. Generally, approximately 10% to 15% of all lung cancers are

SCLC, and about 80% to 85% are NSCLC [5-8]. The current conventional treatments currently used for NSCLC are surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, immunotherapy and targeted therapies [9]. Among the targeted therapies, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), ROS1 and BRAF have provided significant improvements especially in patients with advanced course [10]. In recent years, immunotherapies such as programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) inhibitors have replaced chemotherapy and gained therapeutic support against these mutations [11]. Nevertheless, chemotherapy is the first choice for patients who are not suitable for unidirectional and/or combined forms of immunotherapy. These patients may have immunotherapy contraindications or conditions of concern associated with combination immunotherapy, such as efficiency or risk of toxicity

[12]. Radiation therapy, on the other hand, uses high-energy radiation such as gamma rays, X-rays, protons, or electron rays to completely destroy the tumor site. Surgical interventions are recommended as a therapy choice in early-degree NSCLC [13].

Advanced lung cancer has a poor prognosis and standard therapies with cytotoxic anticancer drugs have restricted therapeutic impacts [14,15]. Various forms of immunotherapy have been developed after the years, like immunostimulating cytokines, oncolytic viruses, and tumor-targeting antibodies, that work by enhancing the anti-neoplastic effect of the existing immune system [16]. For cancer immunotherapy, agents are used to enable or increase the activation of the immune system to offensive cancer cells [17-19]. Cancer immunotherapy has altered the paradigm for cancer treatment [20,21]. At the same time, this method purpose to enhance antitumor immune responses with less off-target effects than chemotherapies and another agents that directly destroy cancer cells [22]. In last years, the dealling of the detailed mechanism of cancer immunotherapy and the positive results of anti PD-1 antibodies, one of the immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), in clinical studies have marked a new era in the therapy of lung cancer [23]. Significant research in advanced NSCLC has informed developed survival with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibody therapy, both single and in combination with chemotherapy. For this reason, immunotherapy is considered a encouraging approach to treat certain types of cancer and furthermore to cure the disease [24,25]. Effective results have been obtained by stimulating the immune system instead of directly destroying cancer cells, which is why immunotherapy has now become the fifth sought-after step in cancer treatment after radiotherapy, surgery, medical oncology and interventional oncology.

This mini-review will review (ICPIs) and (CAR-) T cells, the two main agents behind recent immunotherapeutic agents in NSCLC and SCLC [26,27].

1.1. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors (ICI)

The adaptive immune system, which arises with the production of many antigens, can distinguish cancers

from normal cells [28-31]. These exceptional cancer antigens are recognized by T-cell receptors (TCR) thanks to major histocompatibility complexes (MHC) on antigen-presenting cells (APCs). According to these methods, which include T cell activation, clonal proliferation of antigen-specific cells, immune effector cell aggregation, cytokine release, and ultimately cytotoxic T cell-mediated tumor cell killing, tumor growth will eventually stop. The balance of costimulatory and inhibitory molecular interactions between T cells and APCs governs all of these scenarios. However, tumor cells can evade an immune attack by increasing inhibitory signaling and decreasing costimulatory signaling. [32,33]. Given its success in treating cancer, the first approved ICPI was an antibody against CTLA-4 and PD-1 or PD-L1 [34,35].

1.1.1. CTLA4

The family of immunoglobulins includes CTLA4. Furthermore, together with the T cell co-stimulatory protein CD28, is co-expressed by activated T cells. Humans produce three isoforms as a result of gene splicing. They are the exon 1 and exon 4 versions, the soluble form of CTLA-4, and the full-length CTLA-4, respectively [36]. During T cell receptor (TCR)-mediated and CD28-mediated T cell activation, CTLA4 expression on effector T cells is increased to enable downstream regulation of immunity. The discovery of CTLA 4 as a negative regulator of T cell activation has helped to explain how it might activate the T cells' therapeutic response against cancer. CTLA 4-mediated tumor withdrawing mechanisms are pleiotropic but associated with T lymphocyte activity. T cell replies are required for the therapeutic effects of CTLA 4 directed agents. In animal models, consumed T cell abolished tumoricidal activity [37,38]. Studies have indicated the antitumor effect and clinical avails of antibodies such as ipilimumab, which block CTLA-4 interplays through ligands [39,40].

1.1.2. PDI

PD-1 and PD-L1 are members of the type I transmembrane protein class [41]. Immunity is severely inhibited by programmed cell death protein 1 (PD1). Natural killer T cell, T cell, B cell and activated monocytes all mean the PD1 protein. PDL1

and PDL2 are the two ligands for PD1, which are both related in cell death. In a research, it was shown that regenerated T cells in the peripheral blood of lung cancer patients after PD1 suppression express CD28 [42,43]. Anti-PD1/PD-L1 immunotherapy methods, blocks PD1, an inhibitory lymphocyte receptor, while releasing anti-tumor immune cytotoxicity [44,45].

1.1.3. PDL1

PDL1 causes PD1-mediated immune suppression because it is structurally expressed on T cells, B cells, macrophages, non-lymphoid organs like the heart and lungs, in parenchymal cells, and on the surface of tumor cells. PDL1 expression, but not PDL2, has also been found in the placenta, pancreatic islets, and cardiac endothelium at low levels, suggesting a function for PDL1 in immunological tolerance. In addition, PDL1 blockade is effective in the treatment of malignancies of the bladder, lung and other organs [43-46].

Biochemical experiments confirmed that [italic] in silico[/italic] nominees are true inhibitors of the PD-1/PD-L1 interplay. These results were also verified [italic]in vitro[/italic]. Additionally, the study demonstrated the capability of small molecule inhibitors to reduce tumor masses and mediate antitumor immune reactions using the PD-1/PD-L1 mouse model [47].

1.1.4. PDL2

PDL2, such as PDL1, is an immune checkpoint inhibitor. Although the activity of PDL2 is less well understood than PDL1, its clinical value is still under investigation [48]. The activation of PD1 by PDL2 significantly reduces CD4+ T cell increment and cytokine formation that is mediated by the TCR123. At the same time, novel studies revealed that PDL2 can reduce PDL1 and/or PD1 connecting and increase the expression of CD3 and excitable T-cell co-stimulator (ICOS) on T cells, perchance through conjectural second receptor. Former searches have demonstrated that PDL2 could develop T cell activity through a PD1 free method [49,50].

The promotion of ICI has certainly been the first of all oncologic accomplishment of the last ten years.

Table 1. FDA Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors Approved for NSCLC

Agent	Molecular Target
Ipilimumab	CTLA-4
Nivolumab	PD-1
Pembrolizumab	PD-1
Cemiplimab	PD-1
Atezolizumab	PD-L1
Durvalumab	PD-L1

FDA confirmations started with ipilimumab for melanoma in 2011 [51]. At present confirmed ICIs for NSCLC as of October 2022 are shown in Table 1 [52,53].

1.2. Chimeric Antigen Receptors (CARs)

CARs are at the tender spot of this grand revision of adoptive T cell treatments and concretize a pass from classic immunology to synthetic cell therapy. CARs are synthetic fusion proteins made up of a transmembrane domain, an extracellular domain that can precisely bind to a target molecule expressed on the surface of tumor cells, and an intracellular domain that sends a warning to activate T cells when the extracellular domain interacts with its target. The extracellular domain of an antibody is typically composed of the antigen-recognition regions as a single-chain unstable fragment [54,55]. CAR-T cells detect particular tumor antigens in an MHC-independent way, activating and carrying out their anticancer function [56]. Clinical application of CAR T-cell therapies against LC remnants is constrained by physical and immunological barriers, antigen escape and heterogeneity, on-target off-tumor toxicity, and a number of additional reasons [57]. With CAR T-cell therapy, the patient's own genetically altered T cells are used to find and eradicate the malignancy [58]. The complete range of activity of checkpoint blocking medications used one or in combination is now the focus of significant research due to the intricate biology of immune checkpoint pathways, which still holds plenty mysteries [58-60]. Since rerouted T cell therapy was first introduced by CAR, it has become recognized as a viable cancer treatment method. Contrary to TCRs, CARs are synthetic receptors having cytoplasmic signaling domains, transmembrane domains, and extracellular

antigen identification domains. As a result, CARs are skilled of shifting the specificity of T and NK cells to tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) produced on tumor cells by identifying the targeted antigen in an MHC-independent manner [59]. Some patients have responded highly to immunotherapy, while others do not have the same positive response. No one can predict how your body will respond to any one treatment. A doctor should definitely determine what to expect when using an immunotherapy drug and what the right treatment is for you [61].

2. CONCLUSION

The outlook for treating hematological malignancies has changed as a result of cancer immunotherapies such as ICIs and CAR-T. Even if there are more individuals who have long-term survival after receiving ICI therapy than with other treatments, these examples are rare. The choice of new therapeutics and efficacy-enhancing methods, like combination therapy, remains a difficult problem to tackle. To ensure that immunotherapy is successful in the future, predictive criteria must be improved. Although PD-L1 and CARs are both useful in case selection, it is now known that resistance can arise through multiple mechanisms. Despite the fact that immuno chemotherapy has made significant progress in the treatment of LC, it is anticipated that it will continue to advance when targeted medicines or new combinations of therapies are developed. Clinical investigations are evaluating the advancement of novel therapeutic options, such as the combination of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors with other ICIs and DNA repair targeted medicines.

Author contribution

Concept: İE, GAÇ; Design: İE, GAÇ; Supervision: GAÇ; Data Collection and/or Processing: İE, AH, SNE, GAÇ; Literature Search: İE, AH, SNE, GAÇ; Writing: İE, GAÇ; Critical Reviews: İE, GAÇ.

Source of funding

This research received no grant from any funding agency/sector.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Krishna CT, Adam B, Kalyan S, John SA, Alexander B. Epidemiology of lung cancer *Contemp Oncol (Pozn)*. (2021); 25(1):45-52. <https://doi.org/10.5114/wo.2021.103829>
2. Wenwen G, Tianyun Q, Tian L. The role of stem cells in small-cell lung cancer: Evidence from chemoresistance to immunotherapy. *Semin Cancer Biol*. (2022);87,160-169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2022.11.006>
3. Tanoue LT, Tanner NT, Gould Michael K and Silvestri Gerard A. Lung Cancer Screening. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. (2015); 191(1):1-118. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201410-1777CI>.
4. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global Cancer Statistics. *2012 Ca Cancer J Clin*. (2015); 65:87-108. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21262>
5. Thai A, Solomon BJ, Sequist LV, Gainor JF, Heist RS. Lung cancer. *Lancet*. (2021); 398(10299), 535-554. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00312-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00312-3).
6. Ju MH, Kim HR, Kim JB, Kim YH, Kim DK, Park SI. Surgical outcomes in small cell lung cancer. *Korean J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. (2012);45(1):40-4. <https://doi.org/10.5090/kjtc.2012.45.1.40>
7. Global monitoring report on financial protection in health 2019. Geneva: World Health Organization/World Bank. (2019); ISBN 978-92-4-000396-5
8. Siegel R, Miller K, Fuchs H, Jemal A. Cancer Statistic. *Ca cancer J Clin*. (2021); 71(1) 7-33. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21654>
9. Jayan AP, Anandu KR, Madhu K, Sai Prabha VN. A pharmacological exploration of targeted drug therapy in non-small cell lung cancer. *Med Oncol*. (2022); 39:147 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032-022-01744-6>
10. Hirsch FR, Scagliotti GV, Mulshine JL, Kwon R, Curran WJ, Wu Y-L, Ares LP. Lung cancer: Current therapies and new targeted treatments. *Lancet*. (2017); 389: 299–311. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30958-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30958-8)
11. Denisenko TV, Budkevich IN, Zhivotovsky B. Cell death-based treatment of lung adenocarcinoma. *Cell Death Dis*. (2018); 25;9(2):117.. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-017-0063-y>
12. Mithoowani H, and Febbraro M. Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer in 2022: A Review for General Practitioners in Oncology, *Curr Oncol*. (2022); 29,1828–1839 <https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol29030150>

13. Zargoulidis K, Zargoulidis P, Darwiche K, Boutsikou E, Machairiotis N, Tsakiridis K, Katsikogiannis N, Kougioumtzi I, Karapantzos I, Huang H, Spyros D. Treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Thorac Dis.* (2013); 5(S4):S389-S396. <https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2013.07.10>
14. Key J, Kim YS, Tatulli F, Palange AL, O'Neill B, Aryal S, Ramirez M, Liu X, Ferrari M, Munden R, Decuzzi P. Opportunities for nanotheranosis in lung cancer and pulmonary metastasis. *Clin Transl Imaging.* (2014); 2:427–437. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40336-014-0078-7>
15. Yingjiao X, Shenda H, Hongbin J, Xiangkun H. Evolution from genetics to phenotype: reinterpretation of NSCLC plasticity, heterogeneity, and drug resistance Protein Cell (2017); 8(3):178–190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-016-0330-1>
16. Crino L, Weder W, van Meerbeeck J, Felip E. Early stage and locally advanced (non-metastatic) non-small-cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* (2010); 21,103-15. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdq207>
17. Aritraa L, Avik M, Pravin DP, Navneet S, Purvish P, Bharti B, Anubhab M and Manash KP. Lung cancer immunotherapy: progress, pitfalls, and promises. *Molecular Cancer* (2023); 22:40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-023-01740-y>
18. Ahmed A. Mostafa and Don G. Morris. Immunotherapy for Lung Cancer: Has it Finally Arrived? *Front Oncol.* (2014); 4: 288. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2014.00288>
19. Ping L, Yifei M, Jindan K, Jun W, Zhucheng Y, Hongli X, Xinying L, Xin L, Shaozhong W and Xinjun L. A Low Advanced Lung Cancer Inflammation Index Predicts a Poor Prognosis in Patients With Metastatic Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer *Front Mol Biosci.* (2022); (8) <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2021.784667>
20. Sanmamed MF and Chen L. A Paradigm Shift in Cancer Immunotherapy: From Enhancement to Normalization. *Cell.* (2018); 04:175(2):313–326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.035>.
21. Karla A. Ruiz-Cejaa, Yolanda I. Chirino, Current FDA-approved treatments for non-small cell lung cancer and potential biomarkers for its detection. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* (2017); 90 24–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.03.018>
22. Yuanyuan Z and Zemin Z. The history and advances in cancer immunotherapy: understanding the characteristics of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and their therapeutic implications *Cellular & Molecular Immunology* (2020); 17:807–821; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0488-6>
23. Libin G, Ran W, Yao L and Hang FK. Clinical and Recent Patents Applications of PD-1/PD-L1 Targeting Immunotherapy in Cancer Treatment–Current Progress, Strategy, and Future Perspective. *Front Immunol.* (2020); 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01508>
24. Rachel S. Riley, Carl H. June, Robert Langer, and Michael J. Mitchell. Delivery technologies for cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* (2019); 18(3): 175–196. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-018-0006-z>
25. Bondhopadhyay B, Sisodiya S, Chikara A, Khan A, Tanwar P, Afroze, Di, Singh N, Agrawal U, Mehrotra R and Hussain S. Cancer immunotherapy: a promising dawn in cancer research. *Am J Blood Res.* (2020); 10(6):375-385
26. Gupta S, Gupta SC, Hunter KD, and Pant AB. Immunotherapy: A New Hope for Cancer Patients. *J Oncol.* (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3548603>
27. Miliotou AN, Papadopoulou LC. CAR T-cell Therapy: A New Era in Cancer Immunotherapy *Curr Pharm Biotechnol.* (2018); 19,5-18. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1389201019666180418095526>.
28. Maakaron JE, Hu Marie, Jurdi NE. Chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy for cancer: clinical applications and practical considerations. *BMJ* (2022); 378 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2021-068956>
29. Fischer JW and Bhattarai. N. CAR-T Cell Therapy: Mechanism, Management, and Mitigation of Inflammatory Toxicities *Front. Immunol.* (2021); 12 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.693016>
30. Zhang C, Durer S, Thandra KC, Kasi A, Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Therapy *StatPearls Publishing.* (2023)
31. Ribas A. Adaptive immune resistance: How cancer protects from immune attack *Cancer Discov.* (2015); 5(9): 915–919. <https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-0563>.
32. Yin L, Huseby E, Scott-Browne J, Rubtsova K, Pinilla C, Crawford F, Marrack P, Dai S and Kappler. JW. A single T cell receptor bound to major histocompatibility complex class I and class II glycoproteins reveals switchable TCR conformers. *Immunity.* (2011); 35(1):23-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2011.04.017>.
33. Waldman AD, Fritz JM, Lenardo MJ. A guide to cancer immunotherapy: from T cell basic science to clinical practice. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2020); 20,651–668. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-020-0306-5>.
34. Steven A, Fisher SA, Robinson BW. Lung Cancer Practice, Implementing evidence from around the world. *Respirology.* (2016); 21, 821–833. <https://doi.org/10.1111/resp.12789>
35. Topalian SL, Drake CG, and Pardoll DM. Immune Checkpoint Blockade: A Common Denominator Approach to Cancer Therapy. *Cancer Cell.* (2015); 27(4):450-461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2015.03.001>
36. Kim GR and Choi JM. Current Understanding of Cytotoxic T Lymphocyte Antigen-4 (CTLA-4) Signaling in T-Cell Biology and Disease Therapy. *Mol. Cells* (2022); 45(8): 513-521. <https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2022.2056>

37. Baksh K. & Weber, J. Immune checkpoint protein inhibition for cancer: preclinical justification for CTLA-4 and PD-1 blockade and new combinations. *Semin Oncol.* (2015); 42, 363-377 <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.seminoncol.2015.02.015>.
38. Esensten JH, Helou YA, Chopra G, Weiss A and Bluestone JA. CD28 costimulation: from mechanism to therapy. *Immunity.* (2016); 17;44(5):973–988. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.\(2016\);04.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.(2016);04.020)
39. Ramagopal UA, Liu W, Garrett-Thomson SC, Bonanno JB, Yan Q, Srinivasan M, Wong SC, Bell A, Mankikar S, Rangan VS, Deshpande S, Korman AJ, Almo SC. Structural basis for cancer immunotherapy by the first-in-class checkpoint inhibitor ipilimumab. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (2017); (21):114. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1617941114>.
40. Navid S, Dana Rae TC, Aram D, Daniele G, Raheleh R and Yong L. CTLA-4 in Regulatory T Cells for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancers* (2021); 13, 1440. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13061440>
41. Han Y, Liu D, Li L. PD-1/PD-L1 pathway: current researches in cancer. *Am J Cancer Res.* (2020); 10:727–42.
42. Kamphorst A, Wieland A, Nasti T, Yang S, Zhang R, Barber DL, Konieczny BT, Daugherty CZ, Koenig L, Yu K, Sica GL, Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ, Blazar BR, Turka LA, K Owonikoko T, Pillai RN, Ramalingam SS, Araki K, Ahmed R. Rescue of exhausted CD8 T cells by PD-1-targeted therapies is CD28-dependent. *Science* (2017); 355, 1423–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf0683>
43. HaiXia L, Chao Z, Jinming H, Jie Z, Shan L and Tao J. PD-1/PD-L1 Axis as a Potential Therapeutic Target for Multiple Sclerosis: A T Cell Perspective. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* (2021); 15. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.\(2021\).716747](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.(2021).716747)
44. Edouard D, Nicolas P, Mathieu S, Luc T and Florian G. Anti-PD1/PD-L1 Immunotherapy for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer with Actionable Oncogenic Driver Mutations. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021); 22, 6288. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22126288>
45. Mengke N, Ming Y, Ning L, Suxia L and Kongming W. Predictive biomarkers of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy in NSCLC. *Exp Hematol Oncol* (2021); 10:18 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-021-00211-8>
46. Weiting Q, Lipeng H, Xueli Z, Shuheng J, Jun L, Zhigang Z and Xu W. The Diverse Function of PD-1/PD-L Pathway Beyond Cancer. *Front. Immunol* (2019); 10. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.\(2019\);02298](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.(2019);02298)
47. Acúrcio RC, Pozzi S, Carreira, B, Pojo M, Gómez-Cebrián N, Casimiro S, Fernandes, A, Barateiro A, Farricha V, Brito J, Leandro AP, Salvador JAR, Graça L, Puchades-Carrasco L, Costa, L, Satchi-Fainaro R, Guedes RC, Florindo HF. Therapeutic targeting of PD-1/PD-L1 blockade by novel small-molecule inhibitors recruits cytotoxic T cells into solid tumor microenvironment. *J Immunother Cancer* (2022); <https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2022-004695>
48. Cinzia S, Marco A, Esdy R, Matteo L, Karen WG, Edoardo M. Programmed cell death-ligand 2: A neglected but important target in the immune response to cancer? *Transl Oncology* (2020); 13:(10). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2020.100811>.
49. Wykes MN, Lewin SR. Immune checkpoint blockade in infectious diseases, *Nat Rev Immunol.*(2018); 18:91-104. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2017.112>
50. Shalom L, Anna S. Tocheva, Shoiab Bukhari, Kieran Adam, and Adam Mor. PD-1-stimulated T cell subsets are transcriptionally and functionally distinct. *iScience* 24, (2021); [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.\(2021\);10320](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.(2021);10320)
51. Reetu M, Dipanjan D, Marion LH and Marcus S. Noel The Role of Immunotherapy in Pancreatic Cancer. *Curr Oncol.* (2022); 29, 6864–6892. <https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol29100541>
52. Shiravand Y, Khodadadi F, Kashani SMA, Hosseini-Fard SR, Hosseini S, Sadeghirad H, Ladwa R, O’Byrne K, Kulasinghe A. Immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Curr Oncol.* (2022); 29(5):3044-3060. <https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol29050247>
53. Imfinzi. Prescribing information. AstraZeneca; Accessed (2022); https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/761069s0181bl.pdf
54. Neelapu SS, Tummala, S, Kebriaei P, Wierda W, Gutierrez C, Locke FL, Komanduri KV, Lin Y, Jain N, Daver N, Westin J, Gulbis AM, Lughin ME, Groot JF, Adkins S, Davis SE, Rezvani K, Hwu P, Shpall EJ. Chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy—assessment and management of toxicities: *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2018); 15:47-62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.148>.
55. Jingjing Q, Quanhui M, Lijun C, Jianying Z. Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T-cell therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): current status and future perspectives: *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy* (2021); 70: 619–631, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-020-02735-0>
56. Shengnan Y, Anping L, Qian L, Tengfei L, Xun Y, Xinwei H and Kongming W. Chimeric antigen receptor T cells: a novel therapy for solid tumors: *Journal of Hematology & Oncology* (2017); 10:78. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-017-0444-9>

57. Xu C, Ju D and Zhang X. Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy: challenges and opportunities in lung cancer. *Antib Ther* (2022); 5:1, 73–83 <https://doi.org/10.1093/abt/tbac006>
58. Sadelain M. Chimeric Antigen Receptors: A Paradigm Shift in Immunotherapy. *Annu Rev Cancer Biol.* (2017); 1:447–66 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cancerbio-050216-034351>
59. Kaichao F, Yelei G, Hanren D, Yao W, Xiang L, Hejin J & Weidong H. Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells for the immunotherapy of patients with EGFR-expressing advanced relapsed/refractory non-small cell lung cancer: *Science China Life Science* (2018); 59 (5); 468-479: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-016-5023-8>
60. Lopez AH, Téllez-Gonzalez MA. Teran PM and Acosta AM. Chimeric Antigen Receptor-T Cells: A Pharmaceutical Scope. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021); 12: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.720692>
61. Xiao B-F, Jing-Tao Z, Yu-Ge Z, Xin-Run C, Zhe-Ming L, Ben-Tong Y and Nan W. Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Therapy in Lung Cancer: Potential and Challenges *Front Immunol* (2021); <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.782775>