Research Article

Synthesis of some benzothiazole-piperazine derivatives, investigation by *in vitro* **and molecular modelling for hMAO inhibitory activities**

Bünyamin Göktaş^{\boxtimes 1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2345-7313)^o, Harun Uslu^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8827-8557)}^o[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3692-163X) Derya Osmaniye^{2,30}, Serkan Levent^{2,30},} Sare Peçe Göktaş^{2,[4](https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5372-3903)0}, Begüm Nurpelin Sağlık Özkan^{2,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5948-1855)0}, Yusuf Özkay^{2,30}

1 Fırat University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Elazığ, Türkiye. 2 Anadolu University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Eskişehir, Türkiye. 3 Anadolu University, Faculty of Pharmacy, MERLAB, Eskişehir, Türkiye.

4 Elazığ Fethi Sekin City Hospital, Pharmacy of Hospital, Elazığ, Türkiye.

Bünyamin Göktaş bgoktas@firat.edu.tr

<https://doi.org/10.55971/EJLS.1497558>

Received: 07.06.2024 Accepted: 14.08.2024 Available online: 30.08.2024

ABSTRACT

Monoamine oxidase (MAO) is an enzyme that helps regulate the functions of intracellular amines, as well as chemicals such as dopamine, serotonin and norepinephrine, in the brain and its tissues. Active substances that are inhibitors of monoamine oxidases (MAOs) are used in the treatment of anxiety, depression and Alzheimer's disease. Previous studies have shown that compounds containing piperazine rings show MAO-A inhibitory activity. Based on these studies, 4 compounds containing piperazine and benzothiazole rings were designed, and the structures of the compounds were elucidated using spectroscopic methods such as HRMS and 1 H-NMR. hMAO-A and hMAO-B inhibitory activity was examined by *in vitro* methods. An *in silico* procedure was applied to investigate the residues and binding modes that interact with the docking of compounds **3a**-**d** to the active site of the hMAO-A (PDB ID: 2Z5X) enzyme identified in the previous study. Compound **3b** was found to be the most effective agent among the synthesized compounds with an IC₅₀ value of 0.104 \pm 0.004 μ M against the MAO-A enzyme.

Keywords: Enzyme Inhibition, MAO-A, Molecular Docking, Piperazine

1. INTRODUCTION

Monoamine oxidase (MAO) is an enzyme that plays a role in the oxidative deamination of intracellular amines as well as neurotransmitters such as dopamine, serotonin and norepinephrine, and helps regulate the concentrations of these chemicals in the brain and tissues outside the brain [1,2]. MAOs (MAO-A, MAO-B), which have 2 different isoforms with 70% homology, are located in the outer mitochondrial membranes of cells. The most common places in the body are the brain and liver [3].

While MAO-A is involved in the metabolization of neurotransmitters such as serotonin and adrenaline, MAO-B is involved in the metabolization of neuromodulatory neurotransmitters such as phenylethylamine [4]. MAOs have had different therapeutic uses due to their affinity for different substrates. While Monoamine oxidase-A inhibitors are used as antidepressants in the treatment of depression, Monoamine oxidase-B inhibitors are mostly used in Parkinson's and Alzheimer's disease [5-7].

The piperazine ring is a heterocyclic compound that exhibits a wide range of biological activities. It is found in the structure of compounds used in the treatment of anxiety disorders, such as the active ingredient buspirone [8-10]. When previous studies were examined, MAO inhibition activity was observed in many compounds containing phenylpiperazine and benzothiazole rings [11-13]. Although MAO-A inhibitors such as iproniazid, isocarboxazid, moclobemide and transylpromine have effective results in the treatment of depression, their clinical use has been limited due to side effects such as food-drug interactions or drugdrug interactions. Therefore, the emergence and discovery of new pharmacological groups have become important [14]. It is known that the diseases mentioned in the above text are not simpler diseases such as flu and cold, which have side effects and can be solved easily. Side effects that make life functions and quality of life unbearable and emotional states that can lead to suicide and end of life have led to more studies and efforts on these critical diseases.

In this study, four compounds containing piperazine and benzothiazole rings were synthesized, and their molecular structures were elucidated by various methods. Molecular docking studies and biological evaluation of their human MAO-A and MAO-B inhibition were carried out.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Chemistry

While carrying out this study, all chemicals used and planned to be used during the reaction and pathways were supplied from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., USA) or Merck (Merck KGaA, Germany). $H-MMR$ spectra were recorded in DMSO- d_6 by a Bruker digital FT-NMR spectrometer (Bruker Bioscience, USA) at 300 MHz. MS experiments were planned and carried out on the LCMS-IT-TOF device (Shimadzu, Japan). Termination checks between reaction steps were checked with classical TLC applications on silica gel 60 F254 (Merck KGaA, Germany). Melting degree determination was determined with the Mettler Toledo-MP90 (Greifensee, Switzerland).

2.1.1. Synthesis of 2-chloro-N-(6 substitutedbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)acetamide derivaties (1a-d)

Chloroacetyl chloride was added slowly to a mixture of 6-substituted benzothiazole-2-amine (0.9 g, 0.006 mol) and triethylamine (TEA) (0.894 mL) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (12 mL) in ice bath. After it was determined that the reaction was over, THF was removed and the compound was washed with water to remove the salt [15-16].

2.1.2. Synthesis of sodium 4-(4-methoxyphenyl) piperazine-1-carbodithioate (2a)

NaOH and carbon disulfide (0.05 mol) were added to the mixture of 1-(4-methoxyphenyl) piperazine (0.05 mol) dissolved in ethanol and stirred in a mixture of ice and water for 4 hours. When the reaction was monitored by TLC and determined to have ended, the precipitated substances were filtered, washed with diethyl ether and left to dry [15-16].

2.1.3. Synthesis of target compounds (3a-d)

2-chloro-*N*-(6-substituted benzothiazol-2-yl) acetamide (**1a-d**) (0.0011 mol), sodium 4-(4-substituted phenyl) piperazine-1 carbodithioate (**2a**) (0.0011 mol) were stirred for 6 hours in acetone. After detection of disruption of the reaction, acetone was removed with a rotary evaporator. It was cleaned with water to remove salts from the substances synthesized as a result of the reactions and allowed to dry. Then, recrystallization was performed with ethanol [15- 16].

2-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-ylamino)-2-oxoethyl-4-(4 methoxyphenyl)piperazine-1-carbodithioate (3a)

Yield: 79%, M.p: 225-227 °C, ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 3.16$ (4H, m, CH₂-CH₂), 3.69 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 4.12 (2H, brs, CH₂), 4.34 (2H, brs, CH₂), 4.45 (2H, s, -CH2), 6.85 (2H, d, *J*=6.8 Hz), 6.94 (2H, d, *J*=7.1 Hz), 7.30 (1H, t, *J*=15.3 Hz, benzothiazole), 7.44 (1H, t, *J*=15.4 Hz, benzothiazole), 7.75 (1H, d, *J*=8.0 Hz, benzothiazole), 7.96 (1H, d, *J*=8.1 Hz, benzothiazole), 12.66 (1H, s, -NH). HRMS (ESI) (m/z) : $[M+2H]^{2+}$ calculated for $C_{21}H_{22}N_4O_2S_3$: 230.0511; found 230.0525.

2-((6-Methylbenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)amino)-2 oxoethyl-4-(4-methoxybenzyl)piperazine-1 carbodithioate (3b)

Yield: 84%, M.p: 237-239°C, 1 H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 2.40$ (3H, s, -CH₃), 3.16 (4H, m, CH_2 -CH₂), 3.69 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 4.11 (2H, brs, CH₂), 4.34 (broad s, 2H, CH₂), 4.44 (2H, s, -CH₂), 6.84 (2H, d, *J*=9.0 Hz), 6.94 (2H, d, *J*=9.1 Hz), 7.25 (1H, d, *J*=8.5 Hz, benzothiazole), 7.63 (1H, d, *J*=8.2 Hz, benzothiazole), 7.76 (1H, s, benzothiazole), 12.56 (1H, s, -NH). HRMS (ESI) (*m/z*): [M+H]+ calculated for $C_{22}H_{24}N_4O_2S_3$: 473.1127; found 473.1134.

2-((6-Methoxybenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)amino)- 2-oxoethyl-4-(4-methoxybenzyl)piperazine-1 carbodithioate (3c)

Yield: 81%, M.p: 242-244°C, 1 H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 3.16$ (4H, m, CH₂-CH₂), 3.69 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 3.80 (3H, s, -OCH₃, benzothiazole), 4.11 (2H, brs, CH₂), 4.34 (2H, brs, CH₂), 4.43 (2H, s, -CH2), 6.84 (2H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz), 6.94 (2H, d, *J*=9.1 Hz), 7.03 (1H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz, benzothiazole), 7.57 (1H, s, benzothiazole), 7.64 (1H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz, benzothiazole), 12.53 (1H, s, -NH). HRMS (ESI) (m/z) : [M+H]⁺ calculated for C₂₂H₂₄N₄O₃S₃: 489.1072; found 489.1083.

2-((6-Nitrobenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl-4-(4-methoxybenzyl)piperazine-1-carbodithioate (3d)

Yield: 73%, M.p: 259-261°C, ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =3.16 (4H, m, CH₂-CH₂), 3.69 (3H, s, $-OCH₃$), 4.11 (2H, brs, CH₂), 4.33 (broad s, 2H, CH₂),

Table 1. IC₅₀ (μ M) values of the obtained compounds against MAO-A and MAO-B enzyme

Compounds		MAO-A $IC_{50}(\mu M)$ MAO-B $IC_{50}(\mu M)$
3a	0.198 ± 0.008	>100
3 _b	0.104 ± 0.004	0.120 ± 0.005
3c	0.167 ± 0.007	0.280 ± 0.013
3d	>100	>100
Moclobemide	6.0613 ± 0.2625	
Selegiline		0.0374 ± 0.0016

4.49 (2H, s, -CH2), 6.85 (2H, d, *J*=9.1 Hz), 6.94 (2H, d, *J*=9.1 Hz), 7.91 (1H, d, *J*=9.0 Hz, benzothiazole), 8.29 (1H, d, *J*=8.9 Hz, benzothiazole), 9.06 (1H, s, benzothiazole), 13.11 (1H, s, -NH). HRMS (ESI) (m/z) : [M+H]⁺ calculated for C₂₁H₂₁N₅O₄S₃: 504.0792; found 504.0828.

2.2. *In vitro* **MAO inhibition assay**

In vitro fluorometric enzymatic analysis, which allows accurate and accurate detection and observation of monoamine oxidase activities, was applied to investigate the inhibitory potential of **3a-d** coded compounds on hMAO-A and hMAO-B. Compounds were used at concentrations of 10−5 M-10⁻⁹ M to calculate their IC₅₀ inhibition values of the obtained compounds were calculated as described in previous studies [17-20] (Table 1).

2.3. Prediction of ADME Parameters

The online [SwissADME](http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php) program was used to estimate ADME parameters. [21] (Table 2).

Table 2. Predicted ADME parameters of compounds **3a-d**

Comp	Physicochemical Properties						Lipo.		Druglikeness			Water Solubility		Pharmacokinetics			
						MW Esp3 HBD HBD TPSA ChogP Chose Vhuegge								LogS	Class	GI abs.	
3a	458.62	0.29	8				136.39 143.33	3.43	$^{+}$					-5.37	Moderately	Low	0.55
3 _b	472.65	$0.32 \quad 8$					141.36 143.33 3.76		$+$			$\overline{}$	$+$	-5.68	Moderately	Low	0.55
3c	488.65	0.32	-9	$\overline{4}$			142.89 152.56	3.57	$^{+}$	$\overline{}$			\sim	-5.46	Moderately	Low	0.55
3d	503.62	0.29	9			145.22	189.15 2.71		$+$					-5.45	Moderately	Low	0.55

Comp: Compounds, MW: Molecular weight, Fsp3: Fraction Fsp3, RB: Number of rotatable bonds, HBA: Number of hydrogen bond acceptors, HBD: Number of hydrogen bond donors, MR: Molar refractivity, TPSA: Total polar surface area, Lipo: Lipophilicity, GI abs: Gastrointestinal absorption, F: Bioavailability score.

		Vina Results				
Comp.	Interacting Residues	Interaction Types	Estimated Inhibition Constant, K_i	Best Docking Score	Best Docking Score	
3a			16.31 mM	-6.53	-8.2	
	PHE112	Pi-Pi Stacking				
3 _b	TYR124	Pi-Pi Stacking	106.25 nM	-9.51	-8.8	
	TRP128	Pi-Pi Stacking				
3c	HIS488	Pi-Pi Stacking	144.15 nM	-9.33	-8.7	
	ASP132	H-Bond				
	HIS488	Pi-Pi Stacking				
3d	ASP132	H-Bond	7.13 nM	-11.11	-9.4	
	LYS136	Salt Bridge				

Table 3. Molecular docking scores, interaction types and estimated inhibition constants of synthesized compounds **(3a-d)** and MAO-A (PDB ID: 2Z5X)

Table 4. Molecular docking scores, interaction types and estimated inhibition constants of synthesized compounds **(3a-d)** and MAO-B (PDB ID:2V5Z)

2.4. Molecular Docking Study

An *in silico* procedure was applied to investigate the residues and binding modes that interact with the docking of compounds **3a-d** to the active site of the hMAO-A (PDB ID: 2Z5X) [22], the hMAO-B (PDB ID: 2V5Z) [23] enzymes identified in the previous study. The macromolecular structure of hMAO-A crystallized with harmine was obtained from the Protein Data Bank and the molecular docking procedure was performed by researchers in our research group, as done in previous docking studies [24-26]. The Pdb file of the macromolecule was optimized using Maestro Version 6.4.135, Release 2023-4 [27]. In both receptors (MAO-A: 2Z5X and MAO-B: $2V5Z$), the (6\AA) waters around the previously determined active site (MAO-A: HRM700 and MAO-B: SAG601) were left and all other water molecules were removed. Preprocessing and H-Bond optimization for both receptors was done using Maestro. Then, the obtained pdb formatted macromolecules were edited with Autodock and

saved in pdbqt format. The regular space of the Grid boxs are determined as 0.375 Å, centered on SAG601 (40*40*40 Å³) and HRM700 (50*50*50 Å3). Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm was preferred in all studies, detailed results such as docking scores were obtained using both AutoDock 4.2 [28] and AutoDock Vina programs [29] and results are presented in Table 3 and Table 4. To validate the molecular docking studies, redocking studies were performed with both HRM700 on MAO-A and SAG601 on MAO-B, and RMSD values were found to 0.92 and 1.08 respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Chemistry

Compounds **3a-d** were obtained as shown in Scheme 1. In this study, a synthesis involving dithiocarbamate salt and benzothiazole rings was carried out. The planned and realized synthesis consists of 3 steps.

Scheme 1. Synthesis pathway for obtained compounds **(3a-d)**

As the first step, 2-chloro-*N*-(6-substitutedbenzo[*d*] thiazol-2-yl)acetamide derivatives were obtained by acetylation (**1a-d**). As a second step, dithiocarbamate salt was obtained by the reaction of carbon disulfide with secondary amines (**2a**). In the third and last step, the two products obtained were dissolved in acetone, boiled under reflux, filtered and dried, and substances (**3a-d**) were obtained (Scheme 1). The structures of the compounds **3a-d** were confirmed by using spectroscopic methods (HRMS and 1 H-NMR).

When the NMR results of the synthesized compounds were examined, it was observed that the proton peaks of the piperazine ring appeared in 3 different forms (2H, 2H and 4H) between 3.16 ppm and 4.30 ppm. The proton peaks of the acetyl group attached to the piperazine ring were detected as singlets between 4.43 ppm and 4.49 ppm. It was observed that the protein belonging to the amine group was between 12.53-13.11 ppm and protons belonging to disubstituted benzene were observed between 6.84 ppm and 6.94 ppm. Proton peaks of benzothiazole are also observed between 7.03 ppm and 9.06 ppm. While the CH₃ group in compound **3b** was observed as a singlet at 2.40 ppm, the $OCH₃$ group of compound **3c** was observed to peak as a singlet at 3.80 ppm. Mass spectra were performed using high-resolution liquid chromatography. In the mass spectra taken using the electron sputtering method, all compounds were recorded as having excess molecular weights.

3.2. *In vitro* **MAO inhibition assay**

In vitro fluorometric enzymatic analysis, which allows accurate and accurate detection and observation of monoamine oxidase activities, was applied to investigate the inhibitory potential of **3a-d** coded compounds on hMAO-A and hMAO-B.

3a-d coded compounds were used at concentrations of 10^{-5} M- 10^{-9} M to calculate their IC₅₀ inhibition values of the obtained compounds were calculated [30]. The inhibition of MAO-A and MAO-B at the initial concentrations of the resulting compound and moclobemide and selegiline are shown in Table 1. When the results obtained were examined, compounds $3a$, $3b$ and $3c$ showed IC₅₀ values of 0.198±0.008 µM, 0.104±0.004 µM and 0.167±0.007 µM on MAO-A, respectively. The reference drug moclobemide showed a value of 6.0613±0.2625 µM. On the other hand, compounds **3b** and **3c** showed values of 0.120±0.005 µM and 0.280±0.013 μ M on MAO-B, respectively. The IC₅₀ value of selegiline used as the reference drug was measured as 0.0374 ± 0.0016 µM. Based on these results, it was observed that the synthesized compounds gave approximately 50 times better results on MAO-A than the reference drug, and were approximately 9 times less active on MAO-B than the reference drug. *In vitro* results mostly overlapped with the MAO-A enzyme site interactions examined *in silico* results.

3.3. Prediction of ADME Parameters

The online SwissADME was used and the estimated ADME parameters of the obtained compounds were calculated [21]. Looking at Table 2 showing the results, it is observed that none of the synthesized compounds violate the Lipinski rule [31]. Gastrointestinal absorption provides a preliminary result as to whether the obtained compounds can be used orally. When the table was examined, it was seen that the compounds had low absorption. Log S values of the compounds are between -5.37 and -5.68, and their solubility is estimated to be moderate. The F value, which shows the oral bioavailability of the compounds, is 0.55, which is the ideal value [32], in contrast to the result in gastrointestinal absorption.

3.4. Molecular Docking Studies

As stated in the *in vitro* MAO-A inhibition results, compounds **3b** and **3d** were found to be the 2 compounds with the highest inhibition activity on MAO-A enzyme among the 4 compounds synthesized. Among the synthesized and obtained compounds, compound $3b$ with an IC_{50} value of 0.104±0.004 µM was found to be the best compound. By using X-ray crystal structure of MAO-A (PDB ID: 2z5x) docking studies were performed, and binding modes of compound **3b** were assigned (Figures 1 and 2). Molecular docking poses of all synthesized and obtained compounds are presented in 2D and 3D images in supp. mat. file.

The interaction domain of MAO-A and its cocrystal ligand Harmine (PDB ID: HRM700) has been previously revealed, TYR69, ILE180, ASN181, PHE208, GLN215, ILE335, LEU337, PHE352, TYR407 and TYR444 were emphasis to be important for the interaction ([https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/entry/pdb/2z5x/bound/HRM#700A) [entry/pdb/2z5x/bound/HRM#700A](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/entry/pdb/2z5x/bound/HRM#700A)).

The interaction domain of MAO-B and its cocrystal ligand Safınamide (PDB ID: SAG601) has been previously revealed, PRO102, TRP119, LEU164, PHE168, ILE171, CYS172, ILE199, GLN206, ILE316, TYR326, PHE343, TYR398, TYR435, FAD600, HOH798, HOH808 and HOH839 were emphasis to be important for the interaction [\(https://](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/entry/pdb/2v5z/bound/SAG#601A) [www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/entry/pdb/2v5z/bound/](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/entry/pdb/2v5z/bound/SAG#601A) [SAG#601A\)](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/entry/pdb/2v5z/bound/SAG#601A).

Compound **3a** was sufficiently bound to the amino acid residues in the macromolecule and was also observed in a very close position to the FAD enzyme. Compounds **3b**, **3c**, and **3d** bind sufficiently to amino acid residues spanning the gap and are located close to DCX1 and DCX2 (Figure 2). When the docking poses of all compounds were examined, it was clearly seen that it had many interactions such as salt bride, pi-pi stacking and H-bond. The pi-pi stacking was detected in the benzothiazole ring of compounds **3b, 3c** and **3d**. Also, there was a H-bond interaction between the nitrogen atom of amide functional group and ASP132 (Figures 1 and 2). Moreover, there was a salt bridge interaction between the nitro group of benzothiazole and LYS136 (Table 3). In this study, it was determined that compound **3b** interacted with these residues of MAO-A in a similar way. When the docking poses of MAO-B was examined, compounds **3a** and CYS172 was observed to make hydrogen bonds. Compound **3b** and PHE343 were observed to exhibit pi-pi stacking. Additionally, compounds **3d** and ILE199 were observed to form hydrogen bonds.

Figure 1. 2D interaction diagram with 2Z5X for compound **3b**

Figure 2. 3D interaction diagram with 2Z5X for compound **3b**

4. CONCLUSION

Compounds containing piperazine rings have previously been proven to be effective, have been synthesized and are still used today. In this study, compounds were synthesized with reference to a previous study. hMAO inhibition activities were investigated. ADME results showed that the synthesized compounds were moderate to good in terms of pharmacokinetics. When molecular docking studies were examined, it was observed that the compounds interacted with the residues in the active site. *In vitro* results show that the MAO-A inhibition of our compounds is better than the MAO-B inhibition. When the *in vitro* activity results were examined, compound **3b** showed the best inhibition value with an IC₅₀ of 0.104 \pm 0.004 μ M compared to the moclobemide reference drug. Moclobemide showed an IC₅₀ value of 6.0613 ± 0.2625 µM. Our compounds coded **3a**, **3b** and **3c** showed approximately 50 times better activity than the reference drug. The data obtained in this study can be used as a source for subsequent compound synthesis studies that can be used in the treatment of anxiety and depression.

Acknowledgements

As the authors of this study, we thank Anadolu University Faculty of Pharmacy Central Laboratory for their support and contributions.

Ethical approval

Not applicable, because this article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

Author contribution

Conceptualization, H.U., D.O. and Y.Ö.; Methodology, B.G., S.L. and B.N.S.Ö.; Software, H.U., D.O. and B.N.S.Ö.; Formal analysis, B.G., D.O. and S.L.; Investigation, B.G.; Resources, S.P.G.; Writing—original draft preparation, B.G., H.U., D.O. and S.P.G.; Writing—review and editing, Y.Ö.; Supervision, Y.Ö. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Source of funding

This research received no grant from any funding agency/sector.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Xu R, Xiao G, Li Y, Liu H, Song Q, Zhang X, Deng Y. Multifunctional 5, 6-dimethoxybenzo [d] isothiazol-3 (2H)-one-N-alkylbenzylamine derivatives with acetylcholinesterase, monoamine oxidases and β-amyloid aggregation inhibitory activities as potential agents against Alzheimer's disease. Bioorg Med Chem. (2018); 26(8): 1885-1895. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2018.02.037>
- 2. Kaya B, Sağlık BN, Levent S, Özkay Y, Kaplancıklı ZA. Synthesis of some novel 2-substituted benzothiazole derivatives containing benzylamine moiety as monoamine oxidase inhibitory agents. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. (2016); 31(6): 1654-1661. [https://doi.org/10.3109/14756](https://doi.org/10.3109/14756366.2016.1161621) [366.2016.1161621](https://doi.org/10.3109/14756366.2016.1161621)
- 3. Tripathi RK, Ayyannan SR. Design, Synthesis, and Evaluation of 2‐Amino‐6‐nitrobenzothiazole‐Derived Hydrazones as MAO Inhibitors: Role of the Methylene Spacer Group. ChemMedChem. (2016); 11(14): 1551- 1567. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201600202>
- 4. Hall DWR, Logan BW, Parsons GH. Further studies on the inhibition of monoamine oxidase by M & B 9302 (clorgyline)—I: Substrate specificity in various mammalian species. Biochem Pharmacol. (1969); 18(6): 1447-1454. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952\(69\)90258-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(69)90258-5) [5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(69)90258-5)
- 5. Youdim MB, Finberg JP. New directions in monoamine oxidase A and B selective inhibitors and substrates. Biochem Pharmacol. (1991); 41(2): 155-162. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(91)90471-G) [org/10.1016/0006-2952\(91\)90471-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(91)90471-G)
- 6. Finberg JP. Update on the pharmacology of selective inhibitors of MAO-A and MAO-B: focus on modulation of CNS monoamine neurotransmitter release. Pharmacol. & therap. (2014); 143(2): 133-152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2014.02.010) [pharmthera.2014.02.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2014.02.010)
- 7. Youdim MB, Edmondson D, Tipton, KF. The therapeutic potential of monoamine oxidase inhibitors. Nat Rev Neurosci. (2006); 7(4): 295-309. https://doi:10.1038/ nrn1883
- 8. Shang J, Wang WM, Li YH, Song HB, Li ZM, Wang JG. Synthesis, crystal structure, *in vitro* acetohydroxyacid synthase inhibition, *in vivo* herbicidal activity, and 3D-QSAR of new asymmetric aryl disulfides. J Agric Food Chem. (2012); 60(34): 8286-8293. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf302206x) [org/10.1021/jf302206x](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf302206x)
- 9. Johnstone AL, Reierson GW, Smith RP, Goldberg JL, Lemmon VP, Bixby JL. A chemical genetic approach identifies piperazine antipsychotics as promoters of CNS neurite growth on inhibitory substrates. Mol Cell Neurosci. (2012); 50(2): 125-135. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2012.04.008) [org/10.1016/j.mcn.2012.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2012.04.008)
- 10. Kane JM, Dudley MW, Sorensen SM, Miller FP. 2, 4-Dihydro-3*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiones as potential antidepressant agents. J Med Chem. (1988); 31(6): 1253- 1258.<https://doi.org/10.1021/jm00401a031>
- 11. Sato G, Asakura S, Hakura A, Tsutsui-Hiyoshi Y, Kobayashi N, Tsukidate K. Assessment of potential mutagenic activities of a novel benzothiazole MAO-A inhibitor E2011 using *Salmonella typhimurium* YG1029. Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen (2000); 472(1-2): 163-169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00139-X) [5718\(00\)00139-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00139-X)
- 12. Uslu H, Osmaniye D, Sağlik BN, Levent S, Özkay Y, Benkli K, Kaplancikli ZA. Design, synthesis, *in vitro*, and *in silico* studies of 1,2,4-triazole-piperazine hybrid derivatives as potential MAO inhibitors. Bioorg Chem. (2021); 117: 105430. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2021.105430) [bioorg.2021.105430](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2021.105430)
- 13. Pessoa‐Mahana H, Gajardo GR, Araya‐Maturana R, Cárcamo JK, Pessoa‐Mahana CD. Synthesis of 4‐ arylpiperazine derivatives of moclobemide: Potential antidepressants with a dual mode of action. Synth Commun (2004); 34(14): 2513-2521. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1081/SCC-200025581) [org/10.1081/SCC-200025581](https://doi.org/10.1081/SCC-200025581)
- 14. Huang C, Xiong J, Guan HD, Wang CH, Lei X, Hu JF. Discovery, synthesis, biological evaluation and molecular docking study of (R)-5-methylmellein and its analogs as selective monoamine oxidase A inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem. (2019); 27(10): 2027-2040. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2019.03.060) [org/10.1016/j.bmc.2019.03.060](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2019.03.060)
- 15. Mohsen UA, Kaplancikli ZA, Özkay Y, Yurttaş L. Synthesis and evaluation of anti-acetylcholinesterase activity of some benzothiazole based new piperazinedithiocarbamate derivatives. Drug Res. (2014); 176-183. <https://doi.org>10.1055/s-0034-1375613
- 16. Turan-Zitouni G, Ozkay Y, Ozdemir A, Kaplancikli ZA, Altintop MD. Synthesis of some benzothiazole based piperazine-dithiocarbamate derivatives and evaluation of their anticancer activities. Lett Drug Des Discov (2011); 8(9): 830-837. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2174/157018011797200786) [org/10.2174/157018011797200786](https://doi.org/10.2174/157018011797200786)
- 17. Sağlık BN, Osmaniye D, Acar Çevik U, Levent S, Kaya Çavuşoğlu B, Atlı Eklioğlu, Ö, Kaplancikli ZA. Synthesis, in vitro enzyme activity and molecular docking studies of new benzylamine-sulfonamide derivatives as selective MAO-B inhibitors. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem (2020); 35(1): 1422-1432. [https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366.202](https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366.2020.1784892) [0.1784892](https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366.2020.1784892)
- 18. Can NÖ, Osmaniye D, Levent S, Sağlık BN, Korkut B, Atlı Ö, Kaplancıklı ZA. Design, synthesis and biological assessment of new thiazolylhydrazine derivatives as selective and reversible hMAO-A inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem. (2018); 144: 68-81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.12.013) [ejmech.2017.12.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.12.013)
- 19. Altintop MD, Sever B, Osmaniye D, Sağlık BN, Özdemir A. Design, synthesis, *in vitro* and *in silico* evaluation of new pyrrole derivatives as monoamine oxidase inhibitors. Arch Pharm. (2018); 351(7): 1800082. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.201800082) [org/10.1002/ardp.201800082](https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.201800082)
- 20. Tok F, Sağlık BN, Özkay Y, Ilgın S, Kaplancıklı ZA, Koçyiğit-Kaymakçıoğlu B. Synthesis of new hydrazone derivatives and evaluation of their monoamine oxidase inhibitory activity. Bioorg Chem. (2021); 114: 105038. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2021.105038>
- 21. Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V. SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. Sci Rep. (2017); 7(1): 42717. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42717) [srep42717](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42717)
- 22. Son SY, Ma J, Kondou Y, Yoshimura M, Yamashita E, Tsukihara T. Structure of human monoamine oxidase A at 2.2-Å resolution: the control of opening the entry for substrates/inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci. (2008); 105(15): 5739-5744. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710626105>
- 23. Binda C, Wang J, Pisani L, Caccia C, Carotti A, Salvati P, Edmondson DE, Mattevi, A. Structures of human monoamine oxidase B complexes with selective noncovalent inhibitors: safinamide and coumarin analogs. J Med Chem. (2007); 50(23): 5848-5852. [https://dx.doi.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm070677y) [org/10.1021/jm070677y](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm070677y)
- 24. Uslu H, Sağlık B, Osmaniye D, Benkli K. Novel substituted oxadiazole-piperazine derivatives as potential MAO inhibitors: Design, synthesis, *in vitro* and *in silico* studies. J Res Pharm. (2022); 26(1). [https://dx.doi.](https://dx.doi.org/10.29228/jrp.99) [org/10.29228/jrp.99](https://dx.doi.org/10.29228/jrp.99)
- 25. Osmaniye D, Evren AE, Sağlık BN, Levent S, Özkay Y, Kaplancıklı ZA. Design, synthesis, biological activity, molecular docking, and molecular dynamics of novel benzimidazole derivatives as potential AChE/MAO‐B dual inhibitors. Arch Pharm. (2022); 355(3): 2100450. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.202100450>
- 26. Al-Sharabi AA, Evren AE, Sağlık BN, Yurttaş L. Synthesis, characterization, molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulations of novel 2, 5-disubstituted-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole derivatives as potential cholinesterase/ monoamine oxidase dual inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease. J Biomol Struct Dyn. (2023); 1-19. [https://doi.or](https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2023.2274967) [g/10.1080/07391102.2023.2274967](https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2023.2274967)
- 27. Maestro, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2023.
- 28. Morris GM, Huey R, Lindstrom W, Sanner MF, Belew RK, Goodsell DS, Olson AJ. AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. J Comput Chem. (2009); 30(16): 2785-2791. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21256>
- 29. Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J Comput Chem. (2010); 31(2): 455-461. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21334) [jcc.21334](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21334)
- 30. Turan G, Osmaniye D, Sağlik BN, Çevik UA, Levent S, Çavuşoğlu BK, Kaplancikli ZA. Synthesis and monoamine oxidase A/B inhibitory evaluation of new benzothiazolethiazolylhydrazine derivatives. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat Elem (2020); 195(6): 491-497. [https://doi.org/10.1](https://doi.org/10.1080/10426507.2020.1722667) [080/10426507.2020.1722667](https://doi.org/10.1080/10426507.2020.1722667)
- 31. Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW, Feeney PJ. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. (2012); 64: 4-17.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.019>
- 32. Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V. iLOGP: a simple, robust, and efficient description of n-octanol/water partition coefficient for drug design using the GB/SA approach. J Chem Inf Model (2014); 54(12): 3284-3301. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci500467k) [org/10.1021/ci500467k](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci500467k)